Abstract

Common Law and Civil Law are typically presented as antagonistic players on a field claimed by different legal systems: the former being based on precedent set by judges in deciding cases before them, the latter being founded on a set of rules expected to govern the decisions of those serving as a mouthpiece in applying them. Perceived in this manner, Common Law and Civil Law differ as to the (main) source(s) of law, about who is to create, about who is (merely) to draw from them, about whether the law works itself pure each step of the way or whether the law's purity may only be tarnished when confronted with a contingent set of facts.

These differences have deep roots in (legal) history, roots that allow us to trace them back to distinct traditions. Still, it is questionable whether the divide thus depicted is as great as it may seem: Inter-national and supra-national legal systems indifferent to national peculiarities seem to level the playing field. A normative understanding of Constitutions seems to grant ever-greater authority to High Court decisions based on thinly worded maxims in countries that adhere to the Civil Law tradition. The challenges contemporary regulation faces seem to ask for ever-more detailed statutes governing the decisions of judges in the Common Law tradition.

These and similar observations ask for a structural reassessment of the role of judges, the power of precedent, the
limits of legislation and other features often thought to be so different in Common and Civil law systems.

The workshop is dedicated to this reassessment.
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